

## Nonexistence of global solution for the Timoshenko equation with degenerate damping

Fatma Ekinici<sup>1,a)</sup> and Erhan Pişkin<sup>1,b)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dicle University, 21280 Diyarbakır, Turkey

<sup>a)</sup>ekincifatma2017@gmail.com, <sup>b)</sup>episkin@dicle.edu.tr,

### ABSTRACT

In this work, we consider a Timoshenko equations with degenerate damping term. We prove the nonexistence of global solutions with arbitrary positive initial energy. This result is extensions of earlier results.

**Keywords:** Nonexistence of solutions, Timoshenko equation, Degenerate damping

### INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this work, we focus on the nonexistence of solution in finite time for the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + M\left(\|\nabla u\|^2\right)(-\Delta u) + |u|^q j'(u_t) &= |u|^{q-1} u, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u(x, t) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) &= u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $g \geq 0$  and  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .  $j(s)$  is a continuous, convex, real-valued function defined on  $R$  and  $j'(s)$  is derivative of  $j(s)$  (Barbu, 1976).  $M(s)$  is a function for  $s \geq 0$  satisfying

$$M(s) = 1 + s^\gamma, \quad \gamma > 1.$$

In (Woinowsky-Krieger, 1976), Woinowsky-Krieger firstly proposed the so called Beam equation or Timoshenko equation model

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \left( \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \int_0^t \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| d\tau \right) \left( -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) + g \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (2)$$

for  $g = 0$ , where  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 > 0$  are constants and  $u(x, t)$  is the deflection of the point  $x$  of the beam at the time  $t$ .

When  $g = 0$  and  $j'(u_t) = |u_t|^{p-2} u_t$ , (1) become the following equation

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + M\left(\|\nabla u\|^2\right)(-\Delta u) + |u_t|^{p-2} u_t = |u|^{q-2} u. \quad (3)$$

In [(Esquivel-Avila, 2011), (Esquivel-Avila, 2013)], Esquivel-Avila showed the global attractor, convergence and unboundedness of solutions for the equation (3). In (Pişkin, 2005), Pişkin studied the existence, decay estimates of solutions and blow up of solutions with negative initial energy for this equation. Then, Pişkin and Irkıl (Pişkin and Irkıl, 2016) proved blow up of solutions with positive initial energy for this equation. Recently, Periara et al. (2019) and Pişkin and Yüksekaya (2018) studied this equation in case of  $p = 2$ . Periara et al. proved existence of the global solutions through the Faedo-Galerkin approximations and studied the asymptotic behavior by using the

Nakao method. Pişkin and Yüksekaya proved the blow up of solutions with positive and negative initial energy.

When problem (1) without fourth order term  $\Delta^2 u$  and  $M \equiv 1$ , problem (1) can be reduced to the classical problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u|^g j'(u_t) = |u|^{q-1} u. \quad (4)$$

It is worth noting that problem (4) has been widely investigated by numerous authors and several results concerning nonexistence, asymptotic stability, existence and uniqueness of solutions under consideration of relation between exponent of the source term and exponent of damping terms (see references in Barbu et. al (2007), Barbu et. al (2005), Barbu et. al (2005), Hu and Zhang (2007), Xiao and Shubin (2019)).

It should be noted here that Eq.(4) with Kirchhoff-type and fourth order term  $\Delta^2 u$  is quite different from those types of mentioned research work above. As far as the author knows, our model is a generalization of what (4), but our model is quite difficult and particularly interesting due to Kirchhoff-type and  $\Delta^2 u$  term and has not been discussed before. There is not other result on about solutions of Timoshenko problems with degenerate damping terms.

The object of this paper is to state and study nonexistence of weak solutions (1) under the sufficient conditions on the arbitrary positive initial energy in the main result by using an idea used in (Xiao and Shubin, 2019). Now, we present some preliminary material which will be helpful in the proof of our result. In order to simplify the notations, we denote

$$\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

As in Barbu et. al (2007), Barbu et. al (2005), Hu and Zhang (2007), Xiao and Shubin (2019), we give the following assumptions.

**Assumption (A) :**

- $g, p, q \geq 0$ . In addition,  $g \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$ ,  $q \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$ , if  $n \geq 3$ ;
- $j'(\alpha)$  is a single valued and  $|j'(\alpha)| \leq c_0 |\alpha|^p$ ;
- $(j'(\alpha) - j'(\beta))(\alpha - \beta) \geq c_1 |\alpha - \beta|^{p+1}$ ,

where  $c_0, c_1$  are positive constants for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definiton 1.1:** Suppose that  $u \in C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C_w([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)))$  which satisfies  $M(\|\nabla u\|^2) \Delta u \in L^{2(\gamma+1)}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ ,  $u_{tt}$  and  $|u|^g j'(u_t) \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$  is said to be a weak solution to (1) if the following variational equality holds:

$$(u_{tt}, \varphi) + (\Delta^2 u, \varphi) + \left( \left( 1 + \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\gamma} \right) \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \right) - \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_t) dx, \varphi \right) = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-1} u dx, \varphi \right),$$

for any  $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ , and for all  $t \in (0, T]$  and initial data  $u_0(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

We introduce the energy function such that

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2) + \frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)} \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} - \frac{1}{q+1} \|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1}.$$

**Lemma 1.2:** Let  $E(t)$  be a energy functional of problem (1), then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = - \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_t) u_t dx. \quad (5)$$

**Proof:**

By multiplying equation (1) by  $u_t$ , integrating over  $\Omega$ . Then, we get

$$\int_0^t E'(\tau) d\tau = - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_\tau) u_\tau dx d\tau,$$

$$E(t) - E(0) = - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_\tau) u_\tau dx d\tau \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

## NONEXISTENCE OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

In this section, we need the following lemma to prove our main result.

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $g, p, q, c_0$  be constants,  $q > g + p \geq 1$ , and

$$K(L) \equiv q + 1 - \frac{c_0(g + p + 1)(g + p - 1)}{L(p + 1)(q - 1)},$$

$$\alpha(L) = \left[ (K(L) + 2) \left( (K(L) - 2)\lambda_1 - \frac{c_0(g + p + 1)(q - g - p)}{L(p + 1)(q - 1)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then, there exists  $L_1 > 0$  such that  $\alpha(L)$  is well defined on  $[L_1, +\infty)$ , and the following equality

$$\frac{K(L)}{\alpha(L)} = \frac{pc_0}{(p + 1)c_1} L^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (6)$$

holds for some constant  $L$  on  $(L_1, +\infty)$ .

**Proof:**

Let

$$\alpha_0(L) = (K(L) - 2)\lambda_1 - \frac{c_0(g + p + 1)(q - g - p)}{L(p + 1)(q - 1)},$$

$$L_0 = \frac{c_0(g + p + 1)(g + p - 1)}{(p + 1)(q - 1)^2} > 0.$$

Obviously,  $K(L_0) = 2$ ,  $K(L) > 2$  for any  $L > L_0$ , and

$$\alpha(L) \rightarrow -\frac{(q - g - p)(q - 1)}{g + p - 1} < 0, \text{ as } L \rightarrow L_0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, noting that

$$\alpha(L) \rightarrow (q - 1)\lambda_1 > 0, \text{ as } L \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which combined with (7) and the fact that  $\alpha_0(L)$  is increasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ , yields that  $\alpha_0(L) = 0$  possesses an unique solution  $L_1$  on  $(L_0, +\infty)$ . Noting that  $K(L) + 2 > 0$  for any  $L > L_0$ , then  $\alpha(L) > 0$  for any  $L > L_1$ , which means  $\alpha(L)$  is well defined on  $[L_1, +\infty)$ .

At last, by a straightforward calculation, we can obtain that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow L_1} \frac{K(L)}{\alpha(L)} - \frac{pc_0}{(p + 1)c_1} L^{\frac{1}{p}} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{K(L)}{\alpha(L)} - \frac{pc_0}{(p + 1)c_1} L^{\frac{1}{p}} = -\infty.$$

Then, by means of the mean value theorem for continuous functions the equation (6) has a root  $L$  on  $(L_1, +\infty)$ . Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete.

Our main result reads as follows:

**Theorem 2.2:** Assume that (A) and  $q > \mathcal{G} + p \geq 1$  hold. Let  $u_0(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $u$  is a local solution of the problem (1). Also, if  $E(0) > 0$  and

$$(u_0, u_1) > L^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{pc_0}{(p+1)c_1} E(0), \quad (8)$$

then the weak solutions to (1) blow up in a finite time, where  $L$  is the root of the equation (6).

**Proof:** We assume that  $u$  is a global solution and we arrive at a contradiction. For this aim

$$F(t) = \|u\|^2.$$

Direct derivation of  $F(t)$ , we have

$$F'(t) = 2(u, u_t).$$

Noting that  $u_t \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  for all  $0 \leq t < T$ , the standard approximation argument shows that  $F''(t)$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &= 2(u, u_{tt}) + 2\|u_t\|^2 \\ &= 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + 2\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} - 2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} j'(u_t) u dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$M(t) = F'(t) - \eta E(t), \quad \eta = L^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{2pc_0}{(p+1)c_1}. \quad (9)$$

**Step 1:** We prove the fact that

$$M(t) \geq M(0)e^{\alpha(L)t} > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} M(t) = +\infty. \quad (10)$$

It follows from (9), the energy equality (5) and assumption (A) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) &= F''(t) - \eta E'(t) \\ &= 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + 2\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} j'(u_t) u dx + \eta \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} j'(u_t) u_t dx \\ &\geq 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + 2\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ &\quad - 2c_0 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}+1} |u_t|^p dx + \eta c_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} |u_t|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By means of the Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}+1} |u_t|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}+1-\frac{\mathcal{G}p}{p+1}} |u|^{\frac{\mathcal{G}p}{p+1}} |u_t|^p dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}+p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} |u_t|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p+1} \delta^{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}+p+1} dx + \frac{p}{p+1} \delta^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\mathcal{G}} |u_t|^{p+1} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for  $\delta > 0$ . Substituting (12) into (11), (11) becomes such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) \geq & 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + 2\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ & - \frac{2c_0}{p+1} \delta^{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta+p+1} dx + \left( \eta c_1 - \frac{2c_0 p}{p+1} \delta^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta} |u_t|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Observe that the function

$$g(y) = \frac{a^y}{y}, \quad a \geq 0, \quad a \neq 1, \quad y > 0 \quad (14)$$

is convex and note that for  $q > \vartheta + p \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q-\vartheta-p}{q-1} + \frac{p+\vartheta-1}{q-1} &= 1, \\ p+\vartheta+1 &= 2 \frac{q-\vartheta-p}{q-1} + (q+1) \frac{p+\vartheta-1}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of convex functions, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\vartheta+p+1}}{\vartheta+p+1} dx \leq \frac{q-\vartheta-p}{2(q-1)} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{p+\vartheta-1}{(q+1)(q-1)} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+1} dx. \quad (15)$$

From (13) and (15), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) \geq & 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} + 2\|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ & - \frac{2c_0(p+\vartheta+1)(p+\vartheta-1)}{L(p+1)(q^2-1)} \|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ & - \frac{c_0(p+\vartheta+1)(q-\vartheta-p)}{L(p+1)(q-1)} \|u\|^2 \\ \geq & 2\|u_t\|^2 - 2\|\Delta u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} \\ & - \frac{2K(L)}{q+1} \|u\|_{L^{q+1}}^{q+1} \\ & - \frac{c_0(p+\vartheta+1)(q-\vartheta-p)}{L(p+1)(q-1)} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling  $E(t)$ , Poincare's inequality, and  $K(L) > 2(\gamma+1)$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) \geq & (2+K(L))\|u_t\|^2 + (K(L)-2)\|\Delta u\|^2 + (K(L)-2)\|\nabla u\|^2 \\ & + \left( \frac{K(L)}{\gamma+1} - 2 \right) \|\nabla u\|^{2(\gamma+1)} - 2K(L)E(t) \\ & - \frac{c_0(p+\vartheta+1)(q-\vartheta-p)}{L(p+1)(q-1)} \|u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} M(t) &\geq (2 + K(L)) \|u_t\|^2 - 2K(L) E(t) \\
 &\quad \left( (K(L) - 2) \lambda_1 - \frac{c_0 (p + \vartheta + 1)(q - \vartheta - p)}{L(p + 1)(q - 1)} \right) \|u\|^2 \\
 &\geq 2\sqrt{(2 + K(L)) \alpha_0(L)} (u, u_t) - 2K(L) E(t) \\
 &= \alpha(M) \left( 2(u, u_t) - \frac{2K(L)}{\alpha(L)} E(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $\frac{K(L)}{\alpha(L)} = \frac{pc_0}{(p+1)c_1} L^{\frac{1}{p}}$  that

$$\frac{d}{dt} M(t) \geq \alpha(L) M(t).$$

The condition (8) guarantees  $M(0) > 0$ . Thus, it yields (10).

**Step 2:** We assume that  $u$  is a global solution and we arrive at a contradiction. From the expression (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(t) &= \|u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t F'(\tau) d\tau \\
 &> \|u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t M(\tau) d\tau \\
 &> \|u_0\|^2 + \frac{M(0)}{\alpha(L)} (e^{\alpha(L)t-1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Note that

$$|u|^{p+\vartheta+1} - |u_0|^{p+\vartheta+1} = \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\tau} |u|^{p+\vartheta+1} d\tau,$$

then by a straight forward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta+1} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p+\vartheta+1} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\tau} |u|^{p+\vartheta+1} d\tau dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p+\vartheta+1} dx + (p + \vartheta + 1) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta} |u_{\tau}| dx d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Now, we estimate  $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta} |u_{\tau}| dx d\tau$  term in (17). By using the Hölder's inequality, assumption (A) and the Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta} |u_{\tau}| dx d\tau &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta-\frac{\vartheta}{p+1}} |u|^{\frac{\vartheta}{p+1}} |u_{\tau}| dx d\tau \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+\vartheta+1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta} |u_{\tau}|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{c_1} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^{\vartheta+p+1}}^{\frac{p(p+\vartheta+1)}{p+1}} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta} j'(u_{\tau}) u_{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{c_1} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{\vartheta+p+1})}^{\frac{p(p+\vartheta+1)}{p+1}} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta} j'(u_{\tau}) u_{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{c_1} t^{-\frac{p}{p+1}} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{\vartheta+p+1})}^{\frac{p(p+\vartheta+1)}{p+1}} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\vartheta} j'(u_{\tau}) u_{\tau} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $E(t) \geq 0$ , from the energy identity (5) we get  $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_{\tau}) u_{\tau} dx d\tau \leq E(0)$ , then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{g+p} |u_{\tau}| dx d\tau &\leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{g+p+1})}^{p+g+1} + C_{\varepsilon} t^p \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^g j'(u_{\tau}) u_{\tau} dx d\tau \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{g+p+1})}^{p+g+1} + C_{\varepsilon} t^p E(0), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{p+g+1}$ . Substituting (18) into (17), it follows that

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{g+p+1})} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^{g+p+1}} + C t^{\frac{p}{p+g+1}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{p+g+1}}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Since  $p+g+1 \geq 2$ , by Hölder's inequality it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^2)} &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{p+g-1}{2(p+g+1)}} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^{g+p+1})} \\ &\leq C |\Omega|^{\frac{p+g-1}{2(p+g+1)}} \left( \|u_0\|_{L^{g+p+1}} + t^{\frac{p}{p+g+1}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{p+g+1}} \right), \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ . From (16), it holds

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;L^2)} \geq \|u_0\| + C(e^{ct} - 1), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (20)$$

Both the facts (19) and (20) hold, provided that

$$\|u_0\| + C(e^{ct} - 1) \leq C |\Omega|^{\frac{p+g-1}{2(p+g+1)}} \left( \|u_0\|_{L^{g+p+1}} + t^{\frac{p}{p+g+1}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{p+g+1}} \right), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

which is a contradiction when  $t$  is sufficiently large. Thus, the local solutions blow up in a finite time. The proof is complete.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge all the financial support received from DUBAP (Grant no. ZGEF.19.010).

## REFERENCES

- Barbu, V. (1976), Nonlinear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Nordhoff.
- Barbu, V., Lasiecka, I., Rammaha, M. A. (2005), Existence and uniqueness of solutions to wave equations with nonlinear degenerate damping and source terms. *Control Cybernet.*, **34(3)**: 665-687.
- Barbu, V., Lasiecka, I., Rammaha, M. A. (2005), On nonlinear wave equations with degenerate damping and source terms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **357(7)**: 2571-2611.
- Barbu, V., Lasiecka, I., Rammaha, M. A. (2007), Blow-up of generalized solutions to wave equations with nonlinear degenerate damping and source terms. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **56(3)**: 995 - 1022.
- Esquivel-Avila, JA. (2011), Dynamic analysis of a nonlinear Timoshenko equation. *Abstr Appl. Anal.*, **2010**: 1-36.
- Esquivel-Avila, JA. (2013), Global attractor for a nonlinear Timoshenko equation with source terms. *Math. Sci.*, **7(32)**: 1-8.

- Hu, Q., Zhang, H. (2007), Blowup and asymptotic stability of weak solutions to wave equations with nonlinear degenerate damping and source terms. *Electron J. Differ. Eq.*, **2007(76)**: 1-10.
- Pereira, D. C, Nguyen, H., Raposo, C. A, Maranhao, C. H. M. (2019), On the solutions for an extensible beam equation with internal damping and source terms. *Anais do XIII ENAMA*, **11(3)**: 367-377.
- Pişkin, E. (2005), Existence, decay and blow up of solutions for the extensible beam equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. *Open. Math.*, **13**: 408-420.
- Pişkin, E., Irkıl, N. (2016), Blow up positive initial-energy solutions for the extensible beam equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. *Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31(3)**: 645-654.
- Pişkin, E., Yüksekaya, H. (2018), Non-existence of solutions for a Timoshenko equations with weak dissipation. *Math. Morav.*, **22(2)**: 1-9.
- Woinowsky-Krieger, S. (1950), The effect of axial force on the vibration of hinged bars. *J. Appl. Mech.*, **17**: 35-36.
- Xiao, S., Shubin, W. (2019), A blow-up result with arbitrary positive initial energy for nonlinear wave equations with degenerate damping terms. *J. Part. Diff. Eq.*, **32**: 181-190.